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STUDENT CASE MATERIALS:

Case scenario

A healthy, adult male presents to the primary care professional for laboratory testing associated with recurrent skin infections. The doctor obtains a swab from the perineal area by gently lifting the patient’s tail....yes, tail. The patient is Rusty, a 6 year-old, Golden Retriever, whose family is experiencing recurrent episodes of pyoderma associated with MRSA.

Learning Objectives

Participation in this case will enable the student to:

- Describe laboratory methods used to differentiate Staphylococcus aureus from other bacteria
- Understand the mechanism conferring the antimicrobial resistance associated with MRSA
- Describe the laboratory methods used to differentiate methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) from methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA)
- Evaluate and discuss approaches to MRSA infection control in humans and pets (dogs) in a household experiencing recurrent MRSA infections

Preparatory materials (See Note in Facilitator’s Guide)

Students should carefully read through the following materials prior to class discussion:

Background

The Problem

*Staphylococcus aureus* is an opportunistic pathogen of both humans and animals. In humans, clinical presentations can range from toxin-mediated food poisoning (Fetsch, 2014) to endocarditis (Hoen, 2013) to skin/soft tissue infections [SSTI] (Frazee, 2005). Population surveys estimate that 30-35% of people in the United States are asymptomatically colonized with *Staphylococcus aureus* (Graham, 2006). This means that it may be found on body surfaces, such as the nares, axilla or groin, but does not cause any disease state.

In animals, *Staphylococcus aureus* also causes a wide variety of clinical syndromes, including orthopedic implant infections, mastitis, pyoderma and also asymptomatic carriage (Leonard, 2008). *Staphylococcus aureus* carriage in dogs was shown to occur with a frequency of less than 10% (Boost, 2008).

The (Other) Problem

In 1929, Dr. Alexander Fleming described a substance produced by *Penicillium* mold that would revolutionize modern medicine. “Penicillin”, as he termed it, produced a strong inhibitory/lytic effect against many bacterial species *in vitro*, most notably, the pyogenic cocci (*Staphylococcus*).
However, within a short span of time, penicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* were being isolated from clinical infections. (Rammelkamp, 1942). For many years, penicillin resistant *S. aureus* was a major problem in human medicine. Then a new antimicrobial agent, methicillin, was discovered, and it was hoped that this agent would represent a cure for staphylococcal infections and end the ongoing outbreaks and epidemics. However, reports of methicillin resistant staphylococci began to emerge within one year of the drug being introduced into clinical use. (Jevons, 1961) Initially, MRSA infection was strongly associated with recent hospitalization (Hospital-associated MRSA or HA-MRSA), but in the 1980s / 1990s reports of MRSA not associated with hospitalization started to appear. These MRSA strains were distinctly different from HA-MRSA and were called Community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) (Chambers, 2001). Many of the CA-strain types carried additional virulence or toxin genes and were associated with community-acquired skin and soft tissue infections, but also severe invasive disease, such as necrotizing pneumonia. The CA-MRSA was causing disease in previously healthy individuals and children. Fast-forward to 2013, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention has classified methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* as a “Serious Threat” to public health causing an estimated 80,000 infections and 11,000 deaths annually in the United States. (CDC, 2013)

While the role of pets in transmitting MRSA is largely unknown, one study demonstrated that MRSA carriage in healthy dogs was low (Vengust, 2006). However, there have been several case reports in which dogs have been colonized with a MRSA strain that was indistinguishable from the strain causing human disease (Cefai, 1994; Manian, 2003; Rutland, 2009; van Duijkeren, 2005). In many of these cases, the direction of transmission was considered bi-directional. That said, in households of individuals with recurrent MRSA infection, any reservoir for MRSA is often feared, even if the source of the reservoir is indeed the index patient.

**Laboratory workup / clinical management of recurrent skin infections within a household**

In cases of recurrent skin infections where MRSA is suspected, there are three primary questions that should be cooperatively addressed by the laboratory / attending physician / veterinarian.

1. Is *Staphylococcus aureus* involved?
2. If so, is the particular *Staphylococcus aureus* methicillin-resistant?
3. If so, how will MRSA be managed in this particular household?

**Part 1: Is *Staphylococcus aureus* involved?**

You are the working in the microbiology laboratory that has received Rusty’s perineal swab. You must determine if Rusty is colonized with *Staphylococcus aureus*. The recommended references should guide your answers to the following:
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Questions:
1. What type of culture medium is frequently used to cultivate S. aureus in clinical laboratories?
2. What is the phenotypic appearance of Staphylococcus aureus on a blood agar plate?
3. What are the gram stain characteristics of Staphylococcus aureus?
4. What laboratory tests could be used to differentiate Staphylococcus aureus from other bacteria, including other Staphylococcus spp.?
   a. Which particular bacterium could easily be misidentified as Staphylococcus aureus in this scenario? How would you differentiate the two organisms?
5. Design a flow chart to be used in the microbiology lab to aid in identification of Staphylococcus aureus.

Part 2: Is the particular Staphylococcus aureus methicillin-resistant?

You are able to isolate Staphylococcus aureus from Rusty’s specimen. You must now determine if the particular isolate is methicillin-resistant. The recommended references should guide your answers to the following:
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From the reading:

1. Define “antibiotic resistance” in technical terms. Answers to a) and b) below should be included in your definition.
   a. How do antibiotics exert their effects on bacteria?
   b. How is the drug-pathogen relationship altered when a resistance mechanism is present?
2. Define “antibiotic resistance” in a tweet that could be understood by a non-technical audience.
3. Through words and/or pictures, describe the specific antimicrobial resistance mechanism associated with MRSA.
4. Describe 3 tests that can be used to detect methicillin resistance.

**Part 3: How will MRSA be managed in this particular household?**

*While there are guidelines in managing recurrent MRSA (Lui, 2011), many of the recommendations are based on relatively weak evidence. The evidence for the role of pets in MRSA transmission is equally unclear. Due to the equivocal nature of evidence in managing recurrent MRSA in households with a pet, a “one-health” approach of both human and veterinary medical perspectives is most likely to result in an optimal outcome.*

*You are now the clinician on Rusty’s case. Using the provided references, defend a medical plan for this household. You should specifically address the scientific support for or against the following measures:*

- a. Personal hygiene
- b. Environmental hygiene
- c. Decolonization
  - a. Humans
  - b. Rusty
- d. Bacterial monitoring (cultures)
  - a. Humans
  - b. Rusty
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